



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/533,557	05/16/2006	Laurent Mazet	CR00566P	4562
22917	7590	11/26/2008		
MOTOROLA, INC. 1303 EAST ALGONQUIN ROAD IL01/3RD SCHAUMBURG, IL 60196			EXAMINER MEW, KEVIN D	
			ART UNIT 2416	PAPER NUMBER
			NOTIFICATION DATE 11/26/2008	DELIVERY MODE ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

Docketing.US@motorola.com

Office Action Summary	Application No. 10/533,557	Applicant(s) MAZET ET AL.	
	Examiner Kevin Mew	Art Unit 2416	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 30 July 2008.
- 2a) ☒ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 2,3,5,7,9 and 10 is/are pending in the application.
 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☒ Claim(s) 2-3,7,9 is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 10 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☒ Claim(s) 5 is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
 Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
 Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
 a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- | | |
|--|---|
| 1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413) |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ |
| 3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application |
| Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____ | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____ |

Final Action

Response to Amendment

1. Applicant's Remarks/Arguments filed on 7/30/2008 have been considered. Claims 1, 4, 6, 8 have been cancelled by applicant. Claims 2-3, 5, 7, 9-10 are currently pending.

2. Acknowledgement is made of the deleted claim 8 with respect to the 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph rejection to claim 8 as set forth in the previous Office action. Thus, 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph to claim 8 has been withdrawn.

3. Acknowledgement is made of the amended claim 5 with respect to the 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph rejection to claim 5 as set forth in the previous Office action. The corrections are acceptable and the 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph to claim 5 has been withdrawn.

Claim Objections

4. Claim 5 is objected to because of the following informalities:

There is a lack of description/definition of what the equation symbols Δ^{-1} , v^2 , γ^2 , $\overline{x_k}$, $|x_k|$, $P(y_k | x_k, H_k^p)$ represent. In addition, summation sign Σ is missing in both the numerator and denominator of the equation, as disclosed on page 17, line 5 of the specification.

Appropriate corrections are required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

5. Claim 10 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Maximum a Posteriori Multipath Fading Channel Estimation for OFDM Systems (XP-001133082) in view of Turbo Channel Estimation for OFDM systems on highly time and frequency selective channels (XP-002171257), and in further view of Matheus et al. (US Publication 2002/0021715 A1).

Regarding claim 10, XP-001133082 discloses a system for channel estimation in an orthogonal frequency division multiplexed (OFDM) receiver, the system comprising:

demodulation means for applying Fourier transform to a received signal to obtain a frequency domain signal including a plurality of sub-carriers (abstract, and page 488, 2 OFDM Systems with Channel Model, figure 1, and 1st paragraph .. wherein the cyclic prefix is removed at the receiver and the signal is demodulated with a discrete Fourier Transform...and the independent data symbols are modulated by N sub-carriers and inverse discrete DFT....as disclosed in AAPA);

decoding means for decoding the received signal (page 487, 1st paragraph introduction, wherein OFDM, channel state information between transmit and receive antenna pairs is required for decoding, and a channel estimator further explained in column 1 and 2 (AAPA) of page 487; page 488 further comprising channel estimation algorithm, column 1,

Art Unit: 2416

lines 1-12, wherein for clarification purpose, channel estimator algorithm comprising estimating probabilities of coded bits for plurality of frequency domain sub-carriers).

XP-001133082 may not explicitly show an estimating probabilities of coded bits for at least said plurality of frequency domain sub-carriers; and channel estimation means for performing channel coefficient estimation for each of said plurality of frequency domain sub-carriers using channel coefficient estimates for at least one other of said plurality of frequency domain sub-carriers.

However, XP-002171257 discloses an optimum turbo channel estimation algorithm for OFDM systems on highly selective fading channels... (Abstract), and as well further being illustrated in details; on page 2977, column 2, multiplicative two-dimensional fading channel characteristic, figure 1 comprising proposed receiver comprising DFT technique, and page 2978 comprising, sections 6 further disclose maximum a posteriori discrete channel estimation, EM algorithm, and page 2679, section 7 discloses conditional probabilities computation, and simulation result.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to combine XP-001133082 with the teaching of XP-002171257 with the motivation for a receiver with spatial diversity using an optimum turbo estimation of the multi-path fading channels, which can increase degradation in performance with respect to the perfect channel estimation at low BER, and it can further improve time-frequency interleaving of coded data symbols and an enhanced initialization of the estimation algorithm; whereas further teaching of XP-002171257 can be implemented in order to obtain good initial estimates, pilot symbols are used to estimate the initial value of the corresponding channel parameters according to a data-aided scheme, then the initial values of the complete

Art Unit: 2416

channel parameters are determined using an interpolation technique in order to eliminate errors during transmission.

XP-001133082 and XP-002171257 may not explicitly show repeating said steps of estimating probabilities and performing channel coefficient estimation so as to improve iteratively an accuracy of said channel coefficient estimates and wherein the step of performing channel coefficient estimates comprises replacing previously estimated channel coefficients of said plurality of frequency domain sub-carriers with respective current channel coefficient estimates.

However, Matheus discloses adaptively and repeatedly estimating channel coefficients of a plurality of sub-carriers and replacing old channel coefficient estimates with a new set of N channel coefficient estimates (paragraphs 0086-0088 and Fig. 4-2).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the combined channel estimation method of XP-001133082 and XP-002171257 with the teaching of Matheus in adaptively and repeatedly estimating channel coefficients of a plurality of sub-carriers and replacing old channel coefficient estimates with a new set of N channel coefficient estimates such that the modified estimation method of XP-001133082 and XP-002171257 will show repeating said steps of estimating probabilities and performing channel coefficient estimation so as to improve iteratively an accuracy of said channel coefficient estimates and wherein the step of performing channel coefficient estimates comprises replacing previously estimated channel coefficients of said plurality of frequency domain sub-carriers with respective current channel coefficient estimates.

Art Unit: 2416

The motivation to do so is to provide a frequency deviation selection and evaluation method for adaptively correcting frequency deviation and for arriving at a more accurate frequency deviation without using a large computational overhead.

Allowable Subject Matter

6. Claims 7, 9 are allowed.

The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter:

In claim 7, a method of channel estimation according to claim 5, wherein repeating said step of performing channel coefficient estimation comprises applying a cost function on an Expectation-Maximization algorithm on said plurality of frequency domain sub-carriers to improve said channel coefficient estimates.

In claim 9, a method of channel estimation in a wireless orthogonal frequency division multiplexed (OFDM) communication system, comprising the steps of:

“wherein said step of performing a channel coefficient estimation comprises applying a forward-backward algorithm on said received signal to said plurality of channel coefficient estimates in which estimates are made in a first order of said plurality of frequency domain sub-carriers and subsequently estimates are made in a reversed order of said plurality of frequency domain sub-carriers so as substantially to equalise an estimation accuracy across said plurality of frequency domain sub-carriers.”

Claim 5 is objected to but would be allowable if the claim objections to claim 5 set forth above can be overcome.

Response to Arguments

7. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 2-3, 5, 7, 9-10 have been considered. However, the arguments made by applicant with respect to claim 10 are not persuasive. In particular, applicant fails to discuss the reference(s) applied against claim 10, and did not explain how the claim 10 avoids the reference(s) or distinguish from them. Therefore, claim 10 stands rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Maximum a Posteriori Multipath Fading Channel Estimation for OFDM Systems (XP-001133082) in view of Turbo Channel Estimation for OFDM systems on highly time and frequency selective channels (XP-002171257), and in further view of Matheus et al. (US Publication 2002/0021715 A1).

Conclusion

8. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Art Unit: 2416

9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Kevin Mew whose telephone number is 571-272-3141. The examiner can normally be reached on 9:00 am - 5:30 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Chi Pham can be reached on 571-272-3179. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/K. M./
Examiner, Art Unit 2416

/Chi H Pham/
Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit
2416
11/20/08